

## REMARKS

In response to rejection of Claims 1-21 on the grounds of obviousness-type double patenting, over Applicant's U.S. Patent No. 6,575,387, the Applicant has filed a terminal disclaimer, resulting in the avoidance of double patenting and putting the current application in condition for allowance.


With respect to the prior art, Applicant believes that the references mentioned in the text of the specification are duplicative of the art cited by the Examiner in this continuation-in-part patent application, and as are disclosed on the front page of Applicant's prior related parent United States Patent no. 6,575,387.

Claims 7 and 8 have been amended to provide antecedent basis for the mounting angle of the geometrically shaped object, thereby overcoming the indefiniteness rejection.

In response to a first office action in the merits, please enter the foregoing amendment.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: July 12, 2004,

  
Alfred M. Walker  
Reg. No. 29,983  
Attorney for Applicant  
225 Old Country Road  
Melville, NY 11747-2712  
631-361-8737

pat6